

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,849	11/12/2003	Barnaby Henderson	05-03-008 6081		
45113 DOCKET CLE	7590 01/26/2007 ERK	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 8008	and the second s	KENDALL, CHUCK O			
DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2192		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		01/26/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
			10/706,849 HE		IENDERSON ET AL.			
			Examiner	7	Art Unit			
			Chuck O. Kendall	2	2192			
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ars on the cover sh	eet with the co	rrespondence ad	ddress		
A SHORT WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to n Any reply n	FENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN VER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Of time may be available under the provision by MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of for reply is specified above, the maximum selly within the set or extended period for reply eccived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT as of 37 CFR 1.136 amunication. statutory period will by will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMN (a). In no event, however, apply and will expire SIX (ause the application to become	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this o (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status					•			
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) file	led on <i>02 Oct</i>	ober 2006			-		
· =	s action is FINAL .		ction is non-final.			•		
′=	<u> </u>							
-	sed in accordance with the pract			•				
Disposition o	of Claims		· ·					
4)⊠ Clai	im(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the	application.		÷				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	im(s) is/are allowed.				•			
<u> </u>	im(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
	im(s) is/are objected to.							
	im(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or	election requireme	nt.				
Application F	Papers							
· · _	specification is objected to by the	ho Evaminar			•			
•	drawing(s) filed on 12 November		o: a)⊠ accepted o	r h)□ objected	to by the Evar	miner		
	licant may not request that any obje		•					
	lacement drawing sheet(s) including					FR 1 121(d)		
	oath or declaration is objected	_	•			, ,		
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)∏ Ackr	nowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign p	riority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) <u></u> A	II b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.	Certified copies of the priority	y documents	have been receive	d.				
2.	Certified copies of the priority	y documents	have been receive	d in Application	n No			
3.	Copies of the certified copies	of the priority	y documents have	been received	in this National	l Stage		
	application from the Internati							
* See t	he attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of	f the certified copie	es not received.				
Attachment(s)		•	_					
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (DTO 049)		rview Summary (P er No(s)/Mail Date		•		
3) 🔲 Informatio	n.Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) 🔲 Not	ice of Informal Pat				
Paper NO(s)/Mail Date		6) 📙 Oth	CI				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,849 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

Detailed Action

1. This is in response to application filed 10/02/06.

2. Claims 1 – 22 are still pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 16 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In claims 16 – 22, Applicant draws claims to a program product embodied in a machine-readable medium. However, in Applicant's specification on page, 14 paragraph [0048] Applicant discloses that his machine readable medium includes signal bearing media/transmission media. Based on the Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility it is disclosed that,

"Claims that recite nothing but the physical characteristics of a form of energy, such as a frequency, voltage, or the strength of a magnetic field, define energy or magnetism, per se, and as such are nonstatutory natural phenomena. O'Reilly, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 112-14. Moreover, it does not appear that a claim reciting a signal encoded with functional descriptive material falls within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in Sec. 101"

Therefore, since the claims are drawn to a signal or form of energy, claims 16 –22, are non-statutory subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,849 Page 3

Art Unit: 2192

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Preisler et al. USPN 5,675,803 B1.

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 16 Preisler discloses a method, (a system and a computer program product) for identifying defective program code, comprising:

providing a first program code having a plurality of verified program components and a second program code having a plurality of modified program components (5:1 – 5, see valid memory address for program, also see 4:40 – 45, see source code file containing edit corrections). Preisler doesn't expressly disclose creating a third program code corresponding to the second program code, wherein one of the modified program components is replaced with a corresponding one of the verified program components testing the third program code; and designating the replaced modified program component as defective according to the results of the test.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,849

Art Unit: 2192

However, Buban in an analogous art and similar configuration discloses a hotpatch and a cold patch which is corresponds to each other and features replacement of erroneous code using hot-patchable fixes (0040). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Preisler and Buban because, it would enable fixing the erroneous code dynamically (Buban, 0009).

Regarding claims 2, 10 and 17 the method of claim 1, wherein the first program code, the second program code, and the third program code are dynamic link libraries (Presisler, 33:30 – 31, see linking said dynamic library).

Regarding claims 3, 11 and 18 the method of claim 1, further comprising testing the first program code and the second program code (Presisler, FIG. 5, shows debugging being performed on source and new source file).

Regarding claim 4 the method of claim 1, wherein the creating and designating are repeated for each modified program component in the second program code (Presisler, FIG. 5, shows the process is being repeated after recompiling the source, also see hotpatch and cold patch (Buban, 0010).

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 19 the method of claim 1, wherein the modified program components are program modules (Presisler, 5:20 – 25, see *program* for modules).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,849

Art Unit: 2192

Regarding claims 6, 13 and 20 the method of claim 1, wherein the modified program components are sets of program files developed by the same individual (Presisler, 5:20 – 25, see "instrumented program").

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 21 the method of claim 1, wherein the modified program components are program files (Presisler, 5:20 – 25, see program).

Regarding claims 8,15 and 22 the method of claim 1, wherein the replaced modified program component is designated as defective if the test is passed (Buban, 0040).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Correspondence information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-272-3698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax

Art Unit: 2192

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ck.

6/2/07